UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,574	11/01/2005	Shahram Mihan	LU6046	8894
34872 Basell USA Inc	7590 04/09/200	EXAMINER		
Delaware Corporate Center II			LU, C CAIXIA	
2 Righter Parkway, Suite #300 Wilmington, DE 19803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/522,574	MIHAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Caixia Lu	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/522,574 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-6, wherein the elected monocyclopentadienyl complex is (3-(2-pyridylethyl)indenyl) chromium dichloride, in the reply filed on February 19, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that (i) applicants believe that claims 1-11 comprise the novel and inventive monocyclopentadienyl complex. This is incorrect. First of all, the monocyclopentadienyl complex in general reacts with cocatalyst in a catalyst composition to take on an activated form which has different structure compared to the monocyclopentadienyl complex prior to activation, e.g., the above elected complex does not have much activity since the complex is not activated. Secondly, the monocyclopentadienyl complex is disclosed in Ewen et al. (WO 98/22486) and in the restriction stage, all that is need from the Examiner is to cite the reference. Thirdly, a closer reference has been found during the recent research which renders the instant monocyclopentadienyl complex of the instant claims to be obvious over the reference as show in the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) below.

Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on May 15, 2005 does not fully comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.98(b) because: the authors are not listed for references AA to AX and BA is not a publication. Since the submission appears to be bona fide, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH** from the date of this notice to supply the

Application/Control Number: 10/522,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

above mentioned omissions or corrections in the information disclosure statement. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 CFR 1.136(a) OR (b). Failure to timely comply with this notice will result in the above mentioned information disclosure statement being placed in the application file with the noncomplying information **not** being considered. See 37 CFR 1.97(i).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Formula (V) of claim 2 does not antecedence since Formula (I) of claim 1 does not contain groups X.

## Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the nomenclature of complex, (3-(2-pyridylethyl)indenyl) chromium dichloride, is improper, it should be "(1-(2-pyridylethyl)indenyl) chromium dichloride".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al. (US 2001/0041777).

The instant claims are directed to a monocyclopentadienyl complex and elected species is (3-(2-pyridylethyl)indenyl) chromium dichloride.

Meyer teaches a transition metal compound represented by formula  $(L^*)_n(L)_mM(X)_y$ , wherein  $L^*$  is a heteroatom containing ligand such as pyridine, L is a cyclopentadienyl group containing ligand such as indenyl, and  $L^*$  and L can be bridged by a bridge group such as ethylene (page 2, [0013] to [0017]).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to employ Meyer's teaching to prepare a transition metal compound such as (3-(2-pyridylethyl)indenyl) chromium dichloride since such is within Meyer's disclosure and in the absence of any showing criticality and unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,574 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Caixia Lu/ Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner